

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

Washington, D.C. 20531

APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/243,046	05/16/94	BRANSCOMB	H ADIN79143MAH

[illegible]

24M170129

to extrinsic landing gear, and in addition on wheels of DC fuselage to rear. All four landing gear landing in between and beyond S.S. 11.

MARK A. HAYNES

viewed. The aircraft was in a state of disrepair and was not in a condition to be flown. The aircraft was in a state of disrepair and was not in a condition to be flown.

WILSON, SONSINI, GOODRICH & ROSATI

SEE PAGE 1111, 1112

EXAMINER

1111

1112

650 PAGE MILL ROAD
PALO ALTO CA 94304

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2415	34

DATE MAILED: 01/29/97

01/29/97

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, RTO personnel):

(1) MATTHEW LUL (PTO) (3) _____

(2) Mr. Mark Haynes (Applicant) (4) _____

Date of Interview January 27, 1997

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative)

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No If yes, brief description: _____

Agreement ☒ was reached. ☐ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: claims 1-4, 6-11 and 13

Identification of prior art discussed: Naimark et al. (4,957,902)

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The applicant is

arguments have overcome the use of first person pronouns. Apparent agreement

to amend the claims to overcome the rejections ~~is~~ related to

16 Newmark reference Applicant will also provide ~~the~~ the following:

that clarifies the difference between the claimed invention and the

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, **A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.** (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, **APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.**

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to another form.

FORM PTOL-413 (REV.1-86)

MATTHEW LHH
PATENT EXAMINER
GROUP *Other*